

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/734,258	<b>Applicant(s)</b> IOFFE, SERGEY	
	<b>Examiner</b> JOHN B. STREGE	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

**All Participants:**

(1) JOHN B. STREGE.

(2) CORINA TANASA.

**Date of Interview:** 12 May 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

101

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
EXAMINER PROPOSED AN AMENDMENT TO OVERCOME A 101 REJECTION IN VIEW OF BILSKI BY  
INCORPORATING A PROCESSOR TO TIE THE INVENTION TO A MACHINE. THE APPLICANT ACCEPTED AND  
AN EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT WAS PREPARED..